

Surface-Assisted Synthesis and Behavior of Dimetallic Mixed-Metal Complexes $[M_2Cl_2(\mu-Cl)_4(CO)_6M'(L)_2]$ ($M = Ru, Os$; $M' = Fe, Co$; $L = CH_3CH_2OH, H_2O$)

Minna Jakonen,^[a] Pipsa Hirva,^[a] Taina Nivajärvi,^[a] Mirja Kallinen,^[a] and Matti Haukka*^[a]

Ruthenium / Osmium / Cobalt / Iron / Synthesis design

The last column in Table 1 on page 3503 of the original article^[1] is incorrect. The correct Table 1 and two sentences referring to this table in lines 13–21 of the right-hand column on the same page are given below.

Table 1. Calculated DFT energies of the decomposition routes presented in Scheme 1. Values in bold are taken from ref.^[9]

M	M'	E_I (kJ/mol)	E_{II} (kJ/mol)	E_{III} (kJ/mol)
Ru	Fe	–34	–325	–96
Ru	Co	–31	–287	–78
Os	Fe	–33	–327	–99
Os	Co	–29	–287	–80

It also seems that decomposition step II is around 40 kJ/mol more favourable with iron than with cobalt, which is also consistent with experimental observations. According to our calculations, in $M'Cl_2(H_2O)_4$ the most favourable isomer is the *trans*(Cl) structure with both iron and cobalt, although the energy difference between the isomers is only 4–5 kJ/mol for both metal chlorides.

The Authors

[1] M. Jakonen, P. Hirva, T. Nivajärvi, M. Kallinen, M. Haukka, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, 3497–3508.

Received: December 6, 2007

Published Online: December 12, 2007

[a] University of Joensuu, Department of Chemistry,
P. O. Box 111, 80101, Joensuu, Finland
E-mail: matti.haukka@joensuu.fi